1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
10	BYRON L PETERS,	CASE NO. C20-1422 MJP
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL
12	v.	
13 14	WILLIAM HANES MONROE JR, KIP ANDREW HARBISON, MARK CHRISTIAN GRECO, THE LAW OFFICE OF GLASSER AND	
15	GLASSER PLC,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's "Request for assistance to file	
19	opposition to Defenses [sic] motion to dismiss" which the Court construes as a third motion to	
20	appoint counsel. (Dkt. No. 32; see Dkt. Nos. 4 and 13.) Having reviewed the Motion and	
21	Defendants' response (Dkt. No. 33), the Court again DENIES Plaintiff's motion for appointment	
22	of counsel.	
23	The Court has discretion to appoint counsel for indigent civil litigants pursuant to 28	
24	U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), but an appointment of counsel should only be granted under "exceptional	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

circumstances." Agyeman v. Corrections Corp. of Am., 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th Cir. 2004). "A finding of the exceptional circumstances of the plaintiff seeking assistance requires at least an evaluation of the likelihood of the plaintiff's success on the merits and an evaluation of the plaintiff's ability to articulate his claims in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." Id. (internal quotations omitted). Plaintiff continues not to demonstrate the merits of his claims in his motion for appointment of counsel, and the Court is unable to find sufficient merit on the face of his amended complaint to warrant appointment. Plaintiff has not presented exceptional circumstances that would justify the appointment of counsel at this time. Plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel is DENIED. But to afford Plaintiff additional time to respond to Defendants' pending motion to dismiss (he has otherwise failed to provide a response), the Court re-notes the motion to February 5, 2021. Plaintiff must file his opposition, if any, to the motion to dismiss by no later than Monday, February 1, 2021. The Court warns Plaintiff that a failure to provide an opposition "may be considered by the court as an admission that the motion has merit." Local Civil Rule 7(b)(2). The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all parties and counsel. Dated January 21, 2021. Maisley Helens Marsha J. Pechman United States District Judge